

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	٤	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/604,187		06/30/2003	Alan S Williamson	1186			
37457	7590	02/35/2005		EXAMINER			
ALAN WI		ON	BRUNSMAN, DAVID M				
38 PERRY LAMBERT	•	IJ 08530		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	·			1755	1755		
•	•						

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/604,187	WILLIAMSON, ALAN S					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David M Brunsman	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	Γ .						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	,						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/604,187

Art Unit: 1755

Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The composition, or process of forming it, that makes up the invention must be clearly and positively specified. The claim must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

For purposes of examination, the instant claims has been construed as: a composition comprising Portland cement and rice flour.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant's own admission.

The Abstract filed with in the instant application admits that a Discovery Channel program disclosed the combination of cement and rice flour. The "Whyville Times" reference is cited as a factual reference apparently disclosing the air date of the program of interest to be at least as early as 10 March 2002, more than one year before the filing date of this application.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. The Abstract filed with the application papers appears to admit the

Art Unit: 1755

combination claimed was actually invented by those who constructed the great wall of China.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6387171.

Claim 5 of the reference patent discloses the combination of Portland cement and starch granules. Column 3, line 50 discloses a short list of starch sources each of which, including rice starch, would have been immediately envisioned by the patentees in the combination of claim 5. The granular "rice starch" disclosed is indistinguishable from rice flour.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 2445521.

Column 2, lines 10-15 of the reference disclose, the combination of Portland cement and rice flour.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Brunsman whose telephone number is 571-272-1365. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,187 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

DMB

Mu